

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/057,543	KONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. Bhat	1764	

All Participants:

(1) N. Bhat.

(2) Mr. Christian.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible 102 rejection over claim 1 using the Kong 5,427,747 reference

Claims discussed:

1-4 and 1-33

Prior art documents discussed:

Kong 5,427,747

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed restriction/election requirement. Applicant elected the apparatus claims, claims 1-25 without traverse. The examiner subsequently indicated in a second telephonic communication that apparatus would be allowable if applicant would include that the inner electrode of the dielectric barrier discharge plasma cell includes the catalyst, catalyst coating. Otherwise, claim 1 would read on applicant's own art 5,427,747. Applicant agreed to the suggested examiner's amendment combining the subject matter of claims 2-4 into claim 1, cancelling claim 2-4 and changing the dependency of claim 5 to depend from claim 1. Applicant's representative authorized cancellation of non-elected claims 26-33 without prejudice..